

CORRIGENDA

of the article

LANG MICHAEL. 2020. Business Model Innovation Approaches: A Systematic Literature Review. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis*, 68(2): 435–449. <https://doi.org/10.11118/actaun202068020435>

Link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.11118/actaun202068050901>

Author improperly cited some parts of his article. Proper citations are:

Page 436 – right column, line 16

printed as: “At the moment, there is no commonly accepted definition for the term business model (Johnson *et al.*, 2008, p. 60).”

corrected to: “In reference to Schallmo (2013, p. 21–22) “at the moment, there is no commonly accepted definition for the term business model (Johnson *et al.*, 2008, p. 60).”

Page 437 – Fig. 1

printed as: “1: Characteristics of business model definitions”

corrected to: “1: Characteristics of business model definitions (Schallmo, 2013, p. 22)”

Page 437 – left column, line 30

printed as: “No common definition for the term business model innovation is present”

corrected to: “In reference to Schallmo (2013, p. 27) no common definition for the term business model innovation is present.”

Page 444 – Tab. VI

printed as: “VI: *Most common business model elements identified in selected studies*”

corrected to: “VI: *Schallmo's business model dimensions and elements used for analyzing the identified studies (Schallmo 2013, p. 23, p. 118–119)*”

Page 444 – Fig. 6

printed as: “6: *Amount of mentioned business model elements in selected studies*”

corrected to: “6: *Amount of mentioned business model elements in selected studies in reference to Schallmo's business model dimensions and elements (Schallmo, 2013, p. 23, p. 118–119)*”



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND 4.0\) International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)